



Ross Smith <rasa7777@gmail.com>

Ross Smith v. Lincoln City (15CV22131)

Ross Smith II <rasa7777@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 10:01 AM

To: thomas.o.branford@ojd.state.or.us

Cc: Jens Schmidt <jens.schmidt@harrang.com>, Pamela Peake <pamela.peake@harrang.com>

PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

September 27, 2016

Honorable Judge Thomas O. Branford
Lincoln County Courthouse
225 W Olive St No 202
Newport, OR 97365Via email: thomas.o.branford@ojd.state.or.us

Re: Ross Smith v. Lincoln City (case 15CV22131)

Dear Judge Branford:

I spoke with your court clerk, and she said it was OK to write you to ask about the status of case 15CV22131, as long as I CC'd Mr. Schmidt. I know your docket is full, so I apologize for adding to your caseload.

I am also writing you, to provide you a letter the defendants send me on July 20, 2016. I am also including my response, which I will be delivering to the City Manager, Ron Chandler, today.

I am also including another letter the defendants sent me on September 6, 2016. I will be discussing this letter with Mr. Chandler today, as well.

I am also including my letter to the Attorney General, dated today.

I will let you know the outcome of these discussions.

Thank you for your time, and your service.

Respectfully yours,

Ross Smith
Ross Smith
[541.996.6232](tel:541.996.6232)

5 attachments **2016-09-27-branford.pdf**
63K **2016-09-27-pir-doj-clear-need.pdf**
109K **2016-09-27-response-to-city-councils-2016-07-20-letter.pdf**
118K

 **20160727145046498.pdf**
1254K

 **20160906155317682.pdf**
1132K

PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

September 27, 2016

Honorable Judge Thomas O. Branford
Lincoln County Courthouse
225 W Olive St No 202
Newport, OR 97365

Via email: thomas.o.branford@ojd.state.or.us

Re: Ross Smith v. Lincoln City (case 15CV22131)

Dear Judge Branford:

I spoke with your court clerk, and she said it was OK to write you to ask about the status of case 15CV22131, as long as I CC'd Mr. Schmidt. I know your docket is full, so I apologize for adding to your caseload.

I am also writing you, to provide you a letter the defendants send me on July 20, 2016.¹ I am also including my response, which I will be delivering to the City Manager, Ron Chandler, today.
²

I am also including another letter the defendants sent me on September 6, 2016.³ I will be discussing this letter with Mr. Chandler today, as well.

I am also including my letter to the Attorney General, dated today.⁴

I will let you know the outcome of these discussions.

Thank you for your time, and your service.

Respectfully yours,



Ross Smith

¹ See 20160727145046498.pdf

² See 2016-09-27-response-to-city-councils-2016-07-20-letter.pdf

³ See 20160906155317682.pdf

⁴ See 2016-09-27-pir-doj-clear-need.pdf

541.996.6232

PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

September 27, 2016

Office of the Attorney General
Oregon Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301

Via email: PublicRecordsRequests@doj.state.or.us

Re: Mayor Williams and I's civil rights are being violated by politically based profiling

Dear Madam Attorney General,

Enclosed please find my Oregon DOJ Request for Public Records form, dated today.¹

On August 29, 2016, DOJ representative Emily J. Anderson re-confirmed that the criminal investigation (initiated by Lincoln City Attorney Richard Appicello) of Lincoln City Mayor Donald Williams, and myself, has been active for over twelve months now.²

Since it's been twelve months since Mr. Appicello began politically profiling Lincoln City Mayor Don Williams, myself, and possibly others, I humbly pray for your assistance. To that end, **I again respectfully request the file named "150922 OGEC.pdf" that was attached to Mr. Appicello's September 22, 2015 email to OGEC Executive Director Ronald Bersin,**³ be released to the public, so Mayor Williams, myself, and others can clear their names, and the matter can be put behind us. For details on "150922 OGEC.pdf", please see my original November 20, 2015 letter to the DOJ.⁴

Additionally, while Mr. Appicello claimed to have recused himself from this investigation on August 15, 2016, he has not. On September 8, 2016, Mr. Appicello emailed the City Council and attempted to influence them in their deliberations regarding this investigation, for what appears to be his own personal benefit. This is a clear violation of Rule 3.5(b) of the Oregon Rules of Professional Conduct, which states an attorney: "shall not communicate *ex parte* on the merits of a cause with such a person

¹ See Exhibit 1.

² See Exhibit 2.

³ See Exhibit 3, pg. 1.

⁴ See Exhibit 4.

[judge, juror, prospective juror or other official] during the proceeding unless authorized to do so by law or court order."

According to Sergio Hernandez, as of September 26, 2016, Mr. Appicello has not requested a formal opinion from the Oregon State Bar.⁵

Given this serious ethical lapse, **I respectfully request all copies of all communications sent or received between the DOJ and Mr. Appicello, or any other representatives (officers, employees, or outside agents) of Lincoln City, regarding this investigation.**

Please note that Mr. Appicello is still prosecuting Mayor Williams, and scheduled an **eighth** city council executive session (11/23/15, 12/14/15, 07/11/16, 07/25/16, 08/15/16, 08/22/16, 09/12/16, and now 09/26/16⁶) on this subject.

According to Lincoln City Recorder Cathy Steere, the DOJ is conducting this investigation.⁷ If Ms. Steere's statement is truthful,⁸ then OAR 137-090-0040(2)⁹ does not apply in this case, and the DOJ is free to release the information without consulting any other agencies.

As such, I respectfully request your office conclude this investigation soon, as it has cast a pall over Lincoln City, as citizens are now discouraged from running for office, or even speaking up in City Council meetings, for fear of being secretly investigated. Even city employees have expressed concern they're being secretly investigated, so morale is at an all time low. For details, please contact City Manager Ron Chandler at rchandler@lincolncity.org or 541.996.1200.

If you have any questions, please contact me anytime at rasa7777@gmail.com or 541.996.6232, as I am at your service. Thank you for any assistance you can provide, and thank you for your service.

Sincerely yours,



Ross Smith

Exhibits:

1. Ex-01-2016-08-12-doj_public_records_request.pdf
2. Ex-02-2016-05-16-Gmail - My Mayor's and I's civil rights are being violated by political profiling.pdf
3. Ex-03-2015-09-22-pub-rec-req-1679-part2-pages-13-14-and-18-only.pdf
4. Ex-04-2015-11-20-doj-pir-ross-smith.pdf
5. Ex-05-20151124113306864.pdf
6. Ex-06-Gmail - Public records request_ Opinion to Mr.pdf

⁵ See Exhibit 6.

⁶ See <http://lincolncityor.igmp2.com/Citizens/FileOpen.aspx?Type=14&ID=1660&Inline=True>

⁷ See Exhibit 5.

⁸ See <https://www.ohio.edu/ethics/1999-conferences/the-ethics-of-police-deception/>

⁹ See http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_100/oar_137/137_090.html

CCs:

1. "Amanda Peacher - OPB" <apeacher@opb.org>,
2. "Andrew Theen - The Oregonian" <atheen@oregonian.com>,
3. "Arnie Roblan - State Senator" <Sen.ArnieRoblan@state.or.us>,
4. "Bryan Denson - The Oregonian" <bdenson@oregonian.com>,
5. "Cathy Steere - City Recorder - Lincoln City" <cathys@lincolncity.org>,
6. "Cheryl Harle - KBoss 100" <bossbusiness@actionnet.net>,
7. "Conrad Wilson - OPB" <cwilson@opb.org>,
8. "Dave Hunnicutt - Executive Directory - Oregonians in Action" <dave@oia.org>,
9. "Dave Morgan - News Lincoln County" <Dave@newslincolncounty.com>,'
10. "David Gomberg - State Representative" <Rep.DavidGomberg@state.or.us>,
11. "David Rogers - Executive Director - ACLU" <info@aclu-or.org>,
12. "Don Williams - Mayor - Lincoln City" <dwilliams@lincolncity.org>,
13. "Ellen Rosenblum - Attorney General" <ellen.f.rosenblum@state.or.us>,
14. "Emily J. Anderson - DOJ" <emily.j.anderson@doj.state.or.us>,
15. "Erious Johnson Jr. - Civil Rights Director - DOJ" <Erious.Johnson@doj.state.or.us>,
16. "Gordon Friedman - Statesman Journal" <gfriedman2@statesmanjournal.com>,
17. "Jens Schmidt - Harrang Long Gary Rudnick PC" <jens.schmidt@harrang.com>,
18. "Joseph Douglas - KATU" <jmdouglass@katu.com>,
19. "Kate Brown - Governor" <kate.brown@state.or.us>,
20. "Lars Larson" <lars@larslarson.com>,
21. "Mark Smidt - The News Guard" <msmidt@countrymedia.net>,
22. "Michelle Branam - DA - Lincoln County" <MBranam@co.lincoln.or.us>,
23. "Nigel Jaquiss - Willamette Week" <njaquiss@wweek.com>,
24. "Oregon State Bar - Client Assistance Office" <cao@osbar.org>,
25. "Peter Courtney - Senate President" <sen.petercourtney@state.or.us>,
26. "Richard Meeker - Willamette Week" <rmeeker@wweek.com>,
27. "Rick Beasley - Newport News Times" <rickbeasley@newportnewstimes.com>,
28. "Gretchen Ammerman - The News Guard" <gammerman@countrymedia.net>,
29. "Rob Bovett - Legal Counsel - Association of Oregon Counties" <rbovett@co.lincoln.or.us>,
30. "Ron Chandler - City Manager - Lincoln City" <rchandler@lincolncity.org>,
31. "Ronald Bersin - Executive Director - OSEC" <ron.a.bersin@oregon.gov>,
32. "Russell Poppe - Special Prosecutor" <russell@legalsolutionsnow.com>,
33. "Salome Chimuku - LECC" <LECC@pdx.edu>,
34. "Saul Hubbard - Register Guard" <saul.hubbard@registerguard.com>,
35. "Sean O'Day - General Counsel - League of Oregon Cities" <soday@orcities.org>,
36. "Taylor Anderson - Bend Bulletin" <tanderson@bendbulletin.com>,
37. "Tina Kotek - House Speaker" <Rep.TinaKotek@state.or.us>,
38. "William Kabeiseman - Attorney - Garvey Schubert Barer" <billkab@gsblaw.com>,

BCCs: 41

PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

September 27, 2016

Lincoln City City Council
801 SW Hwy 101
Lincoln City, OR 97367

Via: <city-council@lincolncity.org>

Re: **Numerous false statements** in your letter dated July 20, 2016

Honorable Councilors,

Thank you for your service.

I have reviewed your letter to me dated July 20, 2016, and need to point out **three serious falsehoods** and **several factual misstatements** contained in your letter:

In paragraph 2, it states "*The Recorder advised Council of your request for a fee waiver or reduction.*"

This is a false statement. The City Recorder did not advise you that a fee *reduction* had been requested, only that a fee waiver had been requested.¹ In fact, it appears you were not even provided a copy of my request.²

In paragraph 3, it states "*your request for Executive Session tapes is in your private interest not in the public interest.*"

This is factually incorrect. The tapes are the minutes of public meetings - where the public was mistakenly barred from attending - so the minutes are required to be released to the public, without exemptions, pursuant to ORS 192.420(1).³ That is clearly in the "public interest."

¹ City Council meeting, July 18, 2016. <https://youtu.be/sqD9mKPW4E4?t=1m>

² *Id.*

³ Lincoln County Circuit Court action 15CV22131. <https://goo.gl/KloU3a>

In paragraph 3, it states *“When Mayor Williams was accused of releasing confidential executive session materials you filed a lawsuit claiming all City Executive Sessions were illegal.”*

This is a false statement. I filed my lawsuit on August 20, 2015, and it wasn't until August 21, 2015 that the Ethics Commission received the 315-page ethics complaint authored by Mr. Appicello, that contained **several false accusations** against Mayor Williams. In fact, the complaint contained at least **five material falsehoods** against our Mayor, all of which were proven false by the Commission in a unanimous vote.⁴ While the Commission found our Mayor not guilty of all charges, they were very critical of Mr. Appicello, labeling him an “instigator.”⁵

In paragraph 3, it states *“The purpose of your lawsuit, as you have stated, is to protect Mayor Williams' right to hold office.”*

This is factually incorrect. I have stated several reasons for my lawsuit, including most principally to require you to follow the law, and be honest, transparent, and accountable to your constituents.

In paragraph 3, it states *“if you succeed in your attempt to invalidate the confidentiality protection afforded City executive sessions, Mayor Williams cannot be accused of violation of Council rules regarding disclosure of such confidential information.”*

This is a **seriously misleading statement**, as there is **no factual basis** for the **false allegation** that our Mayor released confidential information. Rather, Mr. Appicello freely admitted (on page 12 of the ethics complaint)⁶ that he divulged privileged and confidential information to a third party, without first getting your permission to do so. As such, not only does it appear that **Mr. Appicello violated ORS 9.460(3)**, but **he violated ORPC Rule 1.6(a)**, as well.⁷ These are serious violations and should be reported to the Bar, so Mr. Appicello's conduct can be appropriately handled.

In paragraph 3, it states *“You are aware of the investigation into Mayor Williams' alleged violation of Council rules.”*

This is a misleading statement, as I am aware of two separate investigations. The first investigation was initiated by Mr. Appicello in August, 2015, and after 12 months, over \$30,000 of our taxpayer's money on three different private attorneys, Russell Poppe, who's interrogated over 20 of our Mayor's supporters, and other honest citizens, Jens Schmidt, and now Ross Williamson. The second investigation was initiated by Mr. Appicello in September, 2015, when he secretly submitted to the Oregon DOJ **false allegations** that our Mayor and I are both criminals. I am not aware of Mr. Appicello's **false allegations** against us, as the four attorneys

⁴ Letter to Oregon Attorney General, dated April 26, 2016, pg. 2 and Exhibit 6, pg. 4.

⁵ Letter to Oregon Attorney General, dated April 26, 2016, pg. 3 and Exhibit 7, pg. 7 at 10.

⁶ Letter to Oregon Attorney General, dated April 26, 2016, pg. 2 and Exhibit 5.

⁷ Letter to Oregon Attorney General, dated April 26, 2016, pg. 2 and Exhibit 6, pg. 4.

you have going after our Mayor and I, Mr. Appicello, Mr. Poppe, Jens Schmidt, and Ross Williamson, have all refused to answer any questions regarding either investigation.

In paragraph 3, it states “*you offered to settle the lawsuit if the City agreed to drop the investigation of Mayor Williams.*”

This is a false statement. I never offered to settle my lawsuit, even if the City agreed to drop its two investigations of our Mayor. Back in October, 2015, I volunteered to *stay* my lawsuit, until the results of the first investigation were to be made known in December, 2015, to encourage the City to wrap up the investigation, and make public the results. But when the investigator provided his report, it was divulged only to you, and not to our Mayor, which means that you not only **violated ORS 192.660(2)(b)**, but you **violated our Mayor’s civil and constitutional rights**, including his right to due process, guaranteed him under the 14th Amendment.⁸

In paragraph 4, it states: “*Your claims [sic] to represent [sic] a member of the news media and to represent the public interest, in this context, are not credible.*”

This is factually incorrect. As I fit your qualifications as a member of the news media, as you allowed another blogger, named Dave Morgan, to attend numerous executive sessions in 2013 and 2014.⁹ As a result, you are required to accept all bloggers as members of the news media, not only to attend your private meetings, but to have standing to hold you accountable, when you break the law.

There is also a serious question of a conflict-of-interest. Not only did Mr. Appicello publish transcripts of you discussing unauthorized topics in your secret meetings last year, but he initiated some of these discussions, as well. Also, between March, 2013, and June 13, 2016, Mr. Appicello has been advising you that discussing unauthorized topics in executive session, is allowed under ORS 192.640(2). This is a clear misinterpretation of ORS 192.640(2), as it only allows unannounced topics in open session. It does not allow unannounced topics in executive session.

Finally, it appears to be a conflict-of-interest, and highly unethical, for Mr. Appicello to be involved in responding to my records request, as the Court may see this as an attempt to cover up violations not only of ORS 192.640(2), but of ORS 9.460(3), ORS 192.660(2)(b), ORS 192.660(6), ORS 192.660(8), and well as numerous ORPC rules.¹⁰

Thank you for your time and service.

Sincerely,

⁸ Letter to Oregon Attorney General, dated April 26, 2016, pp. 2 and 3 and numerous exhibits.

⁹ Lincoln County Circuit Court action 15CV22131. <https://goo.gl/KloU3a>

¹⁰ *Id.*

Ross Smith

Ross Smith
541.996.6232

CCs:

1. "Amanda Peacher - OPB" <[apeacher@opb.org](mailto:apeaker@opb.org)> ,
2. "Andrew Theen - The Oregonian" <atheen@oregonian.com> ,
3. "Arnie Roblan - State Senator" <Sen.ArnieRoblan@state.or.us> ,
4. "Bryan Denson - The Oregonian" <bdenson@oregonian.com> ,
5. "Cathy Steere - City Recorder - Lincoln City" <cathys@lincolncity.org> ,
6. "Cheryl Harle - KBoss 100" <bossbusiness@actionnet.net> ,
7. "Conrad Wilson - OPB" <cwilson@opb.org> ,
8. "Dave Hunnicutt - Executive Directory - Oregonians in Action" <dave@oia.org> ,
9. "Dave Morgan - News Lincoln County" <Dave@newslincolncounty.com> ,
10. "David Gomberg - State Representative" <Rep.DavidGomberg@state.or.us> ,
11. "David Rogers - Executive Director - ACLU" <info@aclu-or.org> ,
12. "Don Williams - Mayor - Lincoln City" <dwilliams@lincolncity.org> ,
13. "Ellen Rosenblum - Attorney General" <ellen.f.rosenblum@state.or.us> ,
14. "Emily J. Anderson - DOJ" <emily.j.anderson@doj.state.or.us> ,
15. "Erious Johnson Jr. - Civil Rights Director - DOJ" <Erious.Johnson@doj.state.or.us> ,
16. "Gordon Friedman - Statesman Journal" <gfriedman2@statesmanjournal.com> ,
17. "Jens Schmidt - Harrang Long Gary Rudnick PC" <jens.schmidt@harrang.com> ,
18. "Joseph Douglas - KATU" <jmdouglass@katu.com> ,
19. "Kate Brown - Governor" <kate.brown@state.or.us> ,
20. "Lars Larson" <lars@larslarson.com> ,
21. "Mark Smidt - The News Guard" <msmidt@countrymedia.net> ,
22. "Michelle Branam - DA - Lincoln County" <MBranam@co.lincoln.or.us> ,
23. "Nigel Jaquiss - Willamette Week" <njaquiss@wweek.com> ,
24. "Oregon State Bar - Client Assistance Office" <cao@osbar.org> ,
25. "Peter Courtney - Senate President" <sen.petercourtney@state.or.us> ,
26. "Richard Meeker - Willamette Week" <rmeeker@wweek.com> ,
27. "Rick Beasley - Newport News Times" <rickbeasley@newportnewstimes.com> ,
28. "Gretchen Ammerman - The News Guard" <gammerman@countrymedia.net> ,
29. "Rob Bovett - Legal Counsel - Association of Oregon Counties" <rbovett@co.lincoln.or.us> ,
30. "Ron Chandler - City Manager - Lincoln City" <rhandler@lincolncity.org> ,
31. "Ronald Bersin - Executive Director - OGE" <ron.a.bersin@oregon.gov> ,
32. "Russell Poppe - Special Prosecutor" <russell@legalsolutionsnow.com> ,
33. "Salome Chimuku - LECC" <LECC@pdx.edu> ,
34. "Saul Hubbard - Register Guard" <saul.hubbard@registerguard.com> ,
35. "Sean O'Day - General Counsel - League of Oregon Cities" <soday@orcities.org> ,
36. "Taylor Anderson - Bend Bulletin" <tanderson@bendbulletin.com> ,

37. "Tina Kotek - House Speaker" <Rep.TinaKotek@state.or.us>,
38. "William Kabeiseman - Attorney - Garvey Schubert Barer" <billkab@gsblaw.com>,

BCCs: 41



The Office of Administration

July 20, 2016

Mr. Ross Smith
PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

Re: Request for Fee Waiver dated June 17, 2016

Dear Mr. Smith:

On June 17, 2016, the City Recorder received a request for information from you for copies of recordings of Executive Session meetings held between July 11, 2013, and August 10, 2015. The City Recorder has previously provided you with an estimate for review of Executive Session tapes, copying and redaction costs. You were informed that any release of such Executive Session materials by the City Council will need to be reviewed and approved by Council after consideration of ORS 192.502(9)/ORS 192.650(2). Staff must review the materials and make recommendations to City Council. Given the volume of materials involved, it will require considerable staff and Council time. The estimate previously provided did not include charges for City Council review.

The Recorder advised Council of your request for a fee waiver or reduction. For the reasons set forth in this letter, your request for fee waiver or reduction is denied.

At core, your request for Executive Session tapes is in your private interest not in the public interest. You have a special interest in vacation rental dwellings, operating an unincorporated association and various websites in support of VRD owners. You are a vocal supporter of Mayor Williams (a VRD owner). When Mayor Williams was accused of releasing confidential executive session materials you filed a lawsuit claiming all City Executive Sessions were illegal. The purpose of your lawsuit, as you have stated, is to protect Mayor Williams' right to hold office. Obviously, if you succeed in your attempt to invalidate the confidentiality protection afforded City executive sessions, Mayor Williams cannot be accused of violation of Council rules regarding disclosure of such confidential information. You are aware of the investigation into Mayor Williams' alleged violation of Council rules and you offered to settle the lawsuit if the City agreed to drop the investigation of Mayor Williams.

Your records request simply assumes the City Council Executive Session meetings were not properly held. As stated, you have made this same allegation in a pending lawsuit and the

"PRIDE IN SERVICE"

Letter – Mr. Ross Smith
July 20, 2016
Re: Waiver Request
Page 2

City has denied your allegation. In this records request you assume you are correct and demand release of the records. The request is not in the public interest but in your personal interest. Your claims to represent a member of the news media and to represent the public interest, in this context, are not credible.

This request is a Level 1 complex request involving multiple staff, extensive research or compilation of documents and audio tapes, and requires attorney review. Your request is burdensome, and is a hardship to the City due to the extent of staff time required, the interference with the business of the City, and the necessity to segregate exempt from possible non-exempt materials and reproduce accordingly. Additionally, as noted, the request is insufficient in providing a clear benefit to the public interest when weighed with the financial hardship to the City. Again, your request for fee waiver is denied.

Approved and authorized by motion of City Council on July 20, 2016.



Cathy Steere
City Recorder

Cc: City Council
City Manager
City Attorney



The Office of Administration

September 6, 2016

Ross Smith
PO Box 627
Lincoln City, OR 97367

Re: Request for Information - Limbrunner

Ross:

On October 29, 2015 I received via e-mail a request for information for the following:

"I respectfully request the 'case files', and any communications send[t] to, or received from, city representatives, and/or other parties, regarding the following citations issued by City Attorney Richard Appicello:

L27104 Limbrunner, Louis 5/29/14
L27106 Limbrunner, Louis 5/29/14
L27107 Limbrunner, Louis 5/29/14
L27108 Limbrunner, Louis 5/29/14

On November 5, 2015 I received from you a correction to the request, noting the citations were issued by former code enforcement officer Edward "Rudy" Blum.

On November 12, 2015 I forwarded to you a letter with an estimate of costs associated with this request in the amount of \$248.55

On June 17, 2016 I received from you a request for information that involved 4 separate requests. One of the requests was to move forward on the request for information on Limbrunner.

On June 25, 2016 I received your check in the amount of \$248.55 for the estimate provided in my letter to you from November 2015.

On July 22, 2016 I received a request from you as to the status of your letter dated June 17, 2016.

"PRIDE IN SERVICE"

Ross Smith
Letter – Record Request
September 6, 2016
Page 2

On August 1, 2016 I responded to number 3 in your request regarding information on Limbrunner files/records.

This is a Level 1 request which is complex, involves multiple staff and/or departments. It involves extensive research or compilation of documents, and requires attorney review. I received a check in the amount of \$248.55 for the estimated costs associated with processing this request, and I received written authorization to move forward.

As stated during your initial request last year, our Court Clerk passed away and we were unable to locate the file. The good news is that we have located more files than original thought involving Limbrunner. The bad news is the costs associated with this request have increased which are listed as follows:


611	blk/wht copies @ \$3.00 each	\$183.30
37	color copies @ \$1.00 each	37.00
2	Hours of staff time @ \$72.26 (first 15 minutes is free)	126.46
3.5	Hours of staff time @ \$72.36	253.26
3	Hours of staff time @ \$30.97	92.91
1.5	Hours of staff time @ \$40.28	<u>60.42</u>
TOTAL FOR THIS REQUEST		\$ 753.35
CREDIT		<248.55>
TOTAL DUE FOR THIS REQUEST		\$504.80

Some of the information located is redacted as it is exempt from disclosure under **[ORS 192.502(1) Internal Advisory Communication & ORS 192.502(9)/ORS 40.225 Attorney Client & work product.]** Personal identifying information, if any located, may have also been redacted.

The City accepts checks or cash, but cannot accept electronic checks, debit or credit cards. Please forward payment addressed to Lincoln City in the amount of **\$504.80**.

Thank you for your patience.

Very truly yours,



Cathy Steere, MMC
City Recorder

cs